

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL 11038

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010143-0
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO:

16 December 1968
INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

15 March 1970

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

Fabrication of a High Precision Stereo Comparator

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☒ YES ☐ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 30%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 64%

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☒ YES ☐ NO
☒ COST ☐ AWARD FEE ☐ PERFORMANCE ☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE | 7. <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> EXCELLENT | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> AVERAGE | |
| 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VERY GOOD | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE | |

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ CLOSE OUT

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

3-5 December 1968

Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010143-0

☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010143-0

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM☐ FINAL

On the front of this sheet, the percent of funds expended is listed as 64%, this includes 30% expended and 34% obligated.

Attention is also called to the new estimated completion date of 15 March 1970; the original date was 1 February 1970. During the last monitor trip to [] the project manager attempted to work out a realistic schedule to compensate for the time lost and the delays resulting from the [] General Strike. [] expects to complete the optical system the end of July 1969 but their entire plant is closed for vacation the month of August and, therefore, any rejected components will not be able to be reworked until September. [] is working on the assumption that there is always something that will have to be reworked and, therefore, acceptance will take place in September. The entire program thereby slipping the completion date to 15 March 1970.

The technical monitor, Messrs. [] of IGO/PRD, and [] of WSO/APWD visited the [] facility on 3 & 4 December 1968. Most of one of the days was spent on system performance and computer programming. [] appears to be doing a very good. They have completed 30% of this work and the schedule calls for 28% to be completed.

[] has reworked the PERT program to reflect the new completion date. The optical system is the critical path and limiting item for the entire program. The 30% work completed reported reflects the new schedule.

[] has completed the optical bridge and shipped it to [] has constructed a test assembly of cement with metal fixtures embedded in it. This is to simulate the comparator and support the optical bridge. An alignment device has been fashioned by [] to insure that the optical bridge and granite will be properly assembled and aligned whether set up in [] or Washington. The alignment device has tooling with pins and will use micrometers, depth gauges, etc. to get the right tolerances in all cases.

[] has submitted a format: it proposes for: (1) Operator's Manual, (2) Operator Training Manual, and (3) Service Manual. These will be reviewed with the cognizant components and returned to [] with comments.

[] has requested that NFIC specify the test targets and materials that will be used in the final acceptance tests for the optical system. The technical monitor has requested that the Test and Evaluation component provide this information at an early date so that [] can apply the same tests to []

Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010143-0

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET